



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,290	05/25/2000	James E Carey	1958.2001-000	5934
21005	7590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			VO, LILIAN	
P.O. BOX 91			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2127	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	4 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			pro			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/578,290	CAREY, JAMES E				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lilian Vo	2127				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the supplication and the supplication are supplied an are supplied to the supplied and the supplied and the supplied are supplied to the supplin	cation. A proper replich places the application	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	•	- Single - in this way to be a second				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	orato and the maining date of the initial reg.	,,,,	,			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
						Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 36</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:	Mush					
	MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIL TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210	NEEtilian Vo NEExaminer				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1) The rejection was deemed proper.
- 2) Issues raised by applicant have already been addressed in the final office action.
- 3) Furthermore, Zolnowsky's teaches of a multiprocessor systems share the computational load or by allowing many smaller tasks to be performed in parallel in separate processors (col. 1, lines 11 20). In other words, each processor's queue not only queuing threads but also tasks and processes to be executed (col. 5, lines 7 26). Fig. 5 shows a dispatch queue for each processor that has its own scheduling lock so that lock contention is reduced (see also col. 6, lines 27 42). In order for each dispatch queue to execute processes/tasks and/or threads, each must have an associated worker thread. Therefore, Zolnowsky clearly teach of the task queue in a multithreaded system or associating a task queue with a respective worker thread as claimed.